

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/774,847	01/31/2001	Harald Krondorfer	1466	6258
7590 08/28/2006		EXAMINER		
STRIKER, ST	TRIKER & STENBY			
103 East Neck	Road			
Huntington, N	Y 11743		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 08/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)		Application No.	No. Applicant(s)				
		09/774,847		KRONDORFER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Gloria R. Weeks	3721				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence a	ddress			
The Ap	opeal Brief filed on 15 June 2006 is defective for	failure to comply with one or	more provisions of 3	7 CFR 41.37.			
1205.0	oid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an 03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from t NSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRA	he mailing date of this Notific	ation, whichever is lo	e MPEP onger.			
1. 🗌	The brief does not contain the items required unheading or in the proper order.	inder 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the	items are not under	the proper			
2.	2. The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not ider.tify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).						
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).						
4.	(a) The brief does not contain a concise expland claims involved in the appeal, referring to the siby reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fair appeal and for each dependent claim argued significant sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set that as corresponding to each claimed function with the drawings, if any, by reference characters (3)	pecification by page and line ls to: (1) identify, for each ind eparately, every means plus forth the structure, material, on reference to the specification	number and to the d ependent claim invol function and step plu r acts described in the	rawings, if any, lved in the is function under ne specification			
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement 41.37(c)(1)(vi))	t of each ground of rejection p	resented for review	(37 CFR			
6. 🛛	The brief does not present an argument under a 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).	separate heading for each gr	ound of rejection on a	appeal (37 CFR			
7.	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).	e appealed claims as an appe	endix thereto (37 CFF	₹			
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the eviden other evidence entered by the examiner and restatement setting forth where in the record that thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).	elied upon by appellant in th	ne appeal, along with	n a É			
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decision identified in the Related Appeals and Interferent 41.37(c)(1)(x)).	ons rendered by a court or the aces section of the brief as an	Board in the procee appendix thereto (3	eding 7 CFR			
10.⊠	Other (including any explanation in support of t	he above items):					

SAMEH H. TAWFIK PRIMARY EXAMINER Again, the Appeal Brief filed is found defective as a proper heading has not been provided for each argument. A proper heading includes the grounds for rejection of the claims to be argued. See MPEP 1205.02 (vii) for examples.

Per MPEP 1205.03., Appellant will be given 1 month or 30 days from the mailing of the notification of non-compliance, whichever is longer, to file an amended brief. Extensions of time may be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a) or 1.136(b). The appeal will be dismissed if the appellant does not timely file an amended brief, or files an amended brief which does not overcome all the reasons for noncompliance of which the appellant was notified.

While it has been found that the Applicant made a bona fide attempt to amend the Appeal Brief filed on March 27, 2006 in the Supplemental Appeal Brief received on June 15, 2006, the Appeal Brief is still defective in view of the reasons previously notified in the initial Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief. Thus, extensions of time will be granted from the mailing date of the initial Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief, mailed May 24, 2006